


I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted electronically to the United States Patent and Trademark Office

Date of Deposit: 

Typed Name of Person Mailing Paper or Fee; Natalie King

Signature: 8/29/2008

**PATENT APPLICATION**  
**DOCKET NO. 200206546-1**

**IN THE**  
**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**INVENTOR(S):** D. Amnon Silverstein

**CONFIRMATION NO.:** 2987

**SERIAL NO.:** 10/698,926

**GROUP ART UNIT:** 2622

**FILED:** October 31, 2003

**EXAMINER:** Gregory V. Madden

**SUBJECT:** Imaging Apparatuses, Image Data Processing Methods, And  
Articles Of Manufacture

---

**COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS**  
**P.O. BOX 1450**  
**ALEXANDRIA VA 22313-1450**

**Interview Summary A**

**SIR:**

Appellants wish to thank SPE Ometz for the courtesies extended to the undersigned during the telephone interview. Appellants stated during the interview that claim 8 is not being argued separately from independent claim 1 from which it depends. Accordingly, if the rejection of claim 1 is in error as determined by the Board with respect to Argument A of the Brief of Appellants, then claim 8 should be allowable since it recites the limitations of claim 1. If the rejection of claim 1 is proper as determined by the Board, then the rejection of claim 8 is proper also since claim 8 was not argued separately.

S/N: 10/698,926  
PDNO. 200206546-1  
Interview Summary A

Examiner Ometz requested that Appellants file the same Corrected Brief of Appellants as filed June 16, 2008 and Appellants respectfully submit the brief with this Interview Summary.

The Examiner is requested to phone the undersigned if the Examiner believes such would facilitate prosecution of the present application. The undersigned is available for telephone consultation at any time during normal business hours (Pacific Time Zone).

Respectfully submitted,  
D. Amnon Silverstein

By



James D. Shaurette  
Reg. No. 39,833

Date: 8/28/08  
(509) 624-4276